



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,200	01/10/2002	Hadi Nurcahya	001649.000003	9772

7590 04/23/2004

Attn: Robert C. Curfiss  
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P.  
P.O. Box 61389  
Houston, TX 77208-1389

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, DUC MINH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2643

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

pw

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/044,200	NURCAHYA, HADI	
	Examiner Duc Nguyen	Art Unit 2643	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4)<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.</li> <li>5)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)</li> <li>6)<input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.</li> </ol>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 13-17 have been renumbered 12-16.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 4-10, 12, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tatchell et al (5,905,774).

Consider claims 1, 4, 6-7, 9, 15. Tatchell teaches a method for connecting a source telephone (22, fig. 1) to a target telephone (subscriber 17a-n), comprising communicating from the source telephone to a computer system (10 and 11, fig. 1) the telephone number of the source telephone (step 93, fig. 8a); communicating from the source telephone to the computer system the telephone number of the target telephone (called number DN; col. 10, ln. 17-20); completing a connection from the computer system to the source telephone (col. 20, ln. 39-51); completing a connection from the computer system to the target telephone (col. 20, ln. 39 to col. 21, ln. 40);

and connecting the source telephone with the target telephone via the computer system (col. 21, ln. 21-40).

Consider claim 5. Tatchell further teaches caller id is received by the computer system (col. 20, ln. 39 to col. 21, ln. 40).

Consider claim 8. Tatchell further teaches a contact database that stores target telephone information, i.e., subscriber's profile or information; subscriber database 22, fig. 2a).

Consider claim 10. Tatchell further teaches communicating to the computer is done by a telephone call from a telephone other than the source telephone (col. 14, ln. 63 to col. 15, ln. 24).

Consider claim 12. Tatchell further teaches information for a plurality of target telephones (table 6.0, home, cellular or work phone).

3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu (6,275,575).

Consider claim 14. Wu teaches a method for connecting a source telephone with a target telephone (fig. 1), comprising initiating a call from a phone to a computer system (col. 6, ln. 66 to col. 8, ln. 23); indicating to the computer system the telephone number of the source telephone (figs. 3-9B); indicating to the computer system the telephone number of the target telephone (figs. 3-9B); disconnecting the call to the computer system (after setting up the conference schedule, the conference server waits until the schedule time to initiate the conference call to the participants); the computer system initiating a call from the computer system to the source telephone (col. 3, ln. 13-26); the computer system initiating a call from the computer system to the target telephone (col. 3, ln. 13-26); and the computer system conferencing the source telephone and the target telephone (col. 3, ln. 13-26).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-3, 11, 13, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tatchell et al (5,905,774) in view of Wu (6,275,575).

Consider claim 11. Tatchell does not teach communicating to the computer system is done by a computer on a global computer network.

Wu teaches communicating to the computer system is done by a computer on a global computer network (computer 120 connected to internet 124, fig. 1; col. 2, ln. 53 to col. 3, ln. 26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Wu into the teachings of Tatchell in order to facilitate the setup and initiation of telephone conference calls from locations remote to the telephone conference server and associated equipment.

Consider claims 2-3, 16. Wu further teaches the source telephones are mobile phones (i.e., conference call; fig. 1; col. 2, ln. 53 to col. 3, ln. 26).

Consider claim 13. Wu further teaches connecting the target telephones with one another and the source telephone (conference call; col. 2, ln. 53 to col. 3, ln. 26).

***Conclusion***

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00AM-2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Duc Nguyen  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2643

4/16/04